



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,285	02/06/2004	Serafim Bochkarev	1793.1114	4961
21171	7590	09/17/2007	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			FATEHI, PARHAM R	
SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			2194	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/17/2007		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/772,285	BOCHKAREV ET AL.
	Examiner Parham (Paul) R. Fatehi	Art Unit 2194

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Parham (Paul) R. Fatehi. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Attorney David J. Cutitta (Reg. 52,790). (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 9/10/2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-12.

Identification of prior art discussed: Eisler (US 5,964,843).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
WILLIAM THOMSON  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner can see how Par. 13 & Par. 14 of specification can be interpreted to support After Final Amendments (mailed 7/13/2007) for which an advisory action was mailed out. However, the amendments could not be entered because they changed the scope of the claims and possibly overcome the Eisler reference: Eisler teaches the use of a combination of 32-bit and 16-bit drivers, whereas the claim amendments clarify that 32-bit information is displayed and 16-bit information is NOT displayed. Attorney will file an RCE after obtaining permission from Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. Examiner will then perform further search and consideration and will determine the relevance of Eisler based on new limitations in RCE .